**Important:** Please note that a the new CPFC and RCPSC accreditation standards include changes to program evaluation. There are some new questions, and some old questions have mandatory updated wording. **Please use these new templates to create your evaluations. Thank you!**

**Instructions:**

**\*** Indicates a question that meets mandatory evaluation requirements.

You may copy and paste these questions and distribute to your participants in your preferred format.

**<TITLE> Series Evaluation**

1. Please describe anything you have done differently as a result of attending one or more sessions in this series: **\***
2. I am confident that I am able to meet the learning objectives of these rounds: **\***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
| ENTER LEARNING OBJECTIVE (include CanMEDS role(s))  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER LEARNING OBJECTIVE (include CanMEDS role(s))  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENTER LEARNING OBJECTIVE (include CanMEDS role(s))  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Were there any aspects of the program that appeared biased to you? Select all that apply.**\***

🞏 No

🞏 Yes - speaker's funding

🞏 Yes - speaker's mention of branded pharmaceuticals or products

🞏 Yes - speaker's focus on personal opinions rather than best practices

🞏 Yes - sponsor-related

🞏 Yes - content related to culture, race, gender, etc.

🞏 Yes - other reason

If yes, please describe:

1. How effective was the program’s format and design (e.g., didactic sessions, small-group discussion, Q&A, case-based learning)? **\***

🔾 Not at all effective

🔾 Slightly effective

🔾 Moderately effective

🔾 Very effective

🔾 Extremely effective

1. How effective was the program content in incorporating aspects of equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility? **\***

🔾 Not at all effective

🔾 Slightly effective

🔾 Moderately effective

🔾 Very effective

🔾 Extremely effective

Please describe any concerns you have, and any suggestions on how the activity could be improved:

1. Do you have any possible topics and/or presenters for the next series?
2. Other comments:

NEW - Required Demographics Questions

The following optional questions are asked to enable comparisons of anonymous responses across individuals and groups in order to understand the different experiences of people with different identities and the extent to which our evaluation data is representative of our participants. We understand that when our program/class is small, responding to these demographic questions could by their nature identify individuals. Data will be aggregated and presented to protect individuals from being identifiable in the results that are shared.

Please indicate if you self-identify with any of the following - select all that apply (OPTIONAL): **\***

🞏 2SLGBTQ+

🞏 Immigrant / newcomer

🞏 Indigenous

🞏 Non-binary / Gender non-conforming

🞏 Person with a disability or chronic condition

🞏 Racialized person

🞏 Prefer not to answer

🞏 Any identity not listed (please specify if comfortable): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Practice setting (OPTIONAL): **\***

🞏 Urban/suburban

🞏 Rural/remote

🞏 Prefer not to answer

🞏 Other (please specify if comfortable): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Practice role(s) - select all that apply (OPTIONAL): **\***

🞏 CFPC physician

🞏 RCPSC physician

🞏 Health Sciences care provider

🞏 Academic

🞏 Administrator

🞏 Learner

🞏 Other (please specify if comfortable) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Asking for demographic data is a new initiative.  If you have suggestions for how this process can be improved, we welcome your feedback!

**Optional additional questions**

The following questions are not required, but may provide useful information for your next needs assessment.  Please choose any that you think would apply and feel free to tailor to your program needs.

1. What are the most challenging presentations you see in your current practice?
2. What updates would you most like to hear about (for example, please list topics, fields, particular guidelines)?
3. If you could change one thing about this program, what would it be?
4. Which of your learning goals were met by this program?
5. Which session(s) of this rounds did you find most effective for improving your skills and knowledge? Why?