

INDEPENDENT CONTENT VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR SPC MEMBERS REVIEWING PRESENTATIONS

Presentation Title:

Presenter Name:

Disclosure Slides:

Does the presentation include disclosure slides for each presenter which includes:

Speaker Name

Relationships with organizations (or indicates nothing to declare)

Not applicable

Grants

Speaker bureau/honoraria

Consulting fees

Patents

Other

Describes the financial or in-kind support related to this presentation (Or that there has been no support provided). If support has been provided, the potential for COI or bias is:

[Speaker/faculty name] has received [payment/funding, etc.] from [organization supporting this program and/or organization whose product(s) are being discussed in this program].

[Supporting organization name] [develops/licenses/ distributes/benefits from the sale of, etc.] a product that will be discussed in this program.

Not applicable - No support was provided

Balance:

1. Does the presentation reflect the current Scientific Literature? (Note that this is not a content expert review, rather a review that references should be included, or that a speaker declares that the content comes from their own experience or unpublished research.):

Yes

No, Explain:

2. Is the presentation balanced? (Are all treatment or management strategies identified or is there a focus on one?) The exception to this is if there is only one treatment option or management strategy and if so, that should be stated:

Yes

No, Explain:

3. Are any unapproved/off-label uses of products or services declared in the presentation?

Yes, Explain:

No

4. Are any issues with copyright detected?

Yes, Explain:

No

INDEPENDENT CONTENT VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR SPC MEMBERS REVIEWING PRESENTATIONS

Branding:

1. Is any branding used? (Sponsors, logos, or other branding cannot be used in educational content. I.e. in the header/footers of PPT or in any handouts)

- Yes, Explain:
 No

2. Are Generic names for pharmaceuticals used? (Generic names should always be used unless it is unavoidable or there is some valid reason for using brand names. In exceptional circumstances, if a brand name is used, it's generic name should accompany it, and all other comparable products should also be presented with their brand and generic names.):

- Yes
 No, Explain:

3. Is any product-specific advertising or promotional materials noted in the presentation (may include devices, emrs, companies, clinics owned by the presenter, books written by the presenter etc):

- Yes, Explain:
 No

4. Is any colour branding commonly associated with companies or their products used? (Sponsors, logos, or other branding cannot be used in educational content. I.e. in the header/footers of PPT or in any handouts):

- Yes, Explain:
 No

5. Do you see any potential direct or indirect influence related to the specific interests of sponsors of this conference/workshop/series:

- Yes, Explain:
 No

6. Does the presentation include any unique identifiers or images that would compromise patient confidentiality?

- Yes, Explain:
 No

Do you approve this presentation:

- Yes
 Yes, with the following changes:
 No, for the following reasons (next steps decision will go to the SPC):

Name of Reviewer:
Date of Review: